

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.mplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/586,606	07/19/2006	Yasuhiro Toida	8062-1039 5951	
466 YOUNG & TI	7590 06/30/201 HOMPSON	0	EXAMINER	
209 Madison Street			ROBINSON, RENEE E	
Suite 500 Alexandria V	te 500 xandria, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
The midney (1797	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/30/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DocketingDept@young-thompson.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/586,606	TOIDA, YASUHIRO		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	RENEE ROBINSON	1797		

Derore the rining of an Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	RENEE ROBINSON	1797					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
HE REPLY FILED 17 June 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request							
for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed of the office of the final rejection.	within one of the follow	ving time				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailling date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection.			cause				
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the 							
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	M will not be entered or b\	he entered and an e	volanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e.	(planation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4.9.11-14.18 and 19</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and							
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	I and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☑ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>2010051</u>	3					
/Walter D. Griffin/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797	/R. R./ Examiner, Art Unit 1797						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments have not been entered because they introduce new limitations which materially affect the scope of the claims and have not been previously considered by the Examiner. Thus, the proposed immendments would require further search and consideration for the Examiner to consider. Specifically, the amendments to claim 1 now require a desulfurization method comprising two distinct steps: reaction of sulfur compounds in the kerosene or gas oil feed among themselves and/or with aromatic hydrocarbons followed by adsorption desulfurization by bringing the sulfur compounds into contact with the superacid catalyst in the absence of hydrogen for the adsorption desulfurization after reaction among sulfur compounds contact with a contact with the superacid catalyst in the absence of hydrogen for the adsorption desulfurization after reaction among sulfur compounds with aromatic hydrocarbons, wherein the exclusion of the presence of hydrogen has also not been previously considered by the Examiner.

Additionally, the limitation "bringing the sulfur compounds into contact with the superacid catalyst in the absence of hydrogen for the adsorption desulfurization" introduces new matter to the claims. The specification as originally filled does not equately support this limitation. The specification mentions treatment in the absence of hydrogen for the embodiment using activated carbon (p. 14, [0.32]), but does not specifically disclose an embodiment using the solid superacid catalyst wherein the process occurs the absence of hydrogen.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As discussed above, the claim amendments include limitations not previously considered on the record by the Examiner and thus would require further sent and consideration to fully consider. In addition, the proposed claim amendments introduce new matter to the claims. Accordingly, the After Final amendments have not been entered.